

Biomechanical Analysis of Digital Human Models: A Posture & Motion Validation study with Santos

Sourav Sanchit Patnaik, John McGinely, Dr. Kari Babski - Reeves, Dr. Gary McFadyen, Dr. Daniel Carruth

Human Factors and Ergonomics (HFE) Research Group, Center for Advanced Vehicular Systems (CAVS), Mississippi State University

Corresponding author: Sourav Patnaik, 110 Lincoln Green, Apt #126, College Station, Starkville, MS - 39759, Email: sp435@msstate.edu

Abstract

Abstract

Validation of posture and motion of Santos digital human model is one of the various studies planned in the research design. For this purpose, we plan to recruit 50 healthy participants (25 male and 25 female, with no pathological disorders). 58 reflective markers were placed at selected portions of the body, keeping in view the biomechanics of the body parts. The subjects were then brought to the arena which was previously calibrated to minimize error due to dropped markers and phantom markers (e.g. interfering reflective objects). Range of motion data was collected for all major joints. Single and multiple effector reaching tasks were then performed. For all assessments, three repetitions were taken and the values were recorded. The data was then processed and thus used for further analysis.

Introduction

Human motion capture system has always been used in medical fields and animation industry. Virtual Simulation, ergonomics and human factors studies are the recent areas where this technology is being applied. In this system, we record the movements of a live human and store the movements in a digital format. Current technologies and systems for capturing human motion include mechanical "exoskeleton" systems, optical and video camera systems, magnetic sensors, reflective markers and data gloves.

Objective

Our basic objective is to validate the posture and motion prediction of upper and lower body. Further, we would validate the posture and motion prediction of whole body and also from basic fundamental tasks (i.e. push, pull etc) to more complex tasks.

Methods

An optical motion capture system (Motion Analysis, Santa Rosa, CA) will be used to capture subjects' motions during tasks. Data will be sampled at 60 Hz for each task. 12 cameras are used to capture the motion during the trials. Small markers (one fourth to one inch diameter) will be fixed to the skin and clothing of the participant using recommended procedures. Marker surfaces will be covered with retroreflective tape allowing cameras to track marker positions within a three dimensional volume.

Results

There are basically 3 steps for motion comparison:

1- data acquisition

Motions can be described based on various parameters (joint angles, velocity and acceleration of the joint/ limb movements, etc). So, we make a kinematic model of body segment links is created and acquired motion capture data is applied to drive the motion of the model.

2- data comparison

Human data collected are either static or dynamic. Comparison of rotation and displacement of selected joint is done, by analysing the movement of markers, with the corresponding information generated by Santos. Virtual markers can be placed to Santos and movements is compared with obtained data sets

3- model fitting

No model (or prediction) is perfect, but we can assess the degree of fit. Intra and inter - person variabilities are the major sources of error while dealing with human motions. Assessment is first done subjectively by ergonomic analysis. Then, qualitative assessment is done by appearance and predicted motions.

Conclusions

Data collection is in progress and we are in processing stage of our study. After proper and rigorous analysis only we can conclude our results about the validation study of Santos.